

Committee Name: Activities Committee

Date & Time: 16/12/2021, 2-5pm

Present: (see below)

In attendance	Apologies	Absent without apologies
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Shalabh Sivanand - Frances Atkinson - Josephine Conway (Activities and Employability Officer) - George Christian (Sports Officer) [Chair] - Zeyu Li - Jules Singh (Education Officer) - Sam Macbeth (Student Groups Manager) - Gemma Allport (Senior Student Groups Coordinator) - Emma Parrott (Student Groups Coordinator) 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Jacob Wilson - Adam Sheridan (Director of Engagement) - Timon Burford - Yihan Si 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Munira Eid

No.	Item for discussion	Questions/Comments/Voting Decision From Discussion	Action
1	Student Groups Grant Allocation	<p><u>Minutes from Activities Committee sub-group 1</u></p> <p>Participants of sub-group 1:</p> <p>Jules Singh Josephine Conway Shalabh Sivanand</p> <p>Applications under consideration within this sub-group:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Activity groups 	I

		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Performance groups • Religious groups <p><u>Pole Fitness applications</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • JS: group have a high account balance • SS: are requesting £100 for first application • JS: already have a lot in their account • SS: could charge people to attend. Expense doesn't quite match up • JS: move to reject • SS: yes • JS: additional applications from Pole Fitness. Let's look at the full context of all applications • GA: group have regular expenditure incurred through paying instructors and running classes for members • JS: this is useful information to understand their current account balance • SS: there are some things that they can fund themselves • JS: trolley and pole tour safety essentials- can be covered by account balance • JS: happy to give funding for Guild tech and judges and to give money in full for these expenses • SS: agreed <p>Application outcomes:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • £100 towards a speaker= not awarded as the group are able to afford the activity from money that is already in their current account • £50 towards a trolley for transporting pole equipment= not awarded as the group are able to afford the activity from money that is already in their current account 	
--	--	--	--

- £650 towards guild tech for IUPDC= awarded in full- no conditions
- £200 towards judges for IUPDC competition= awarded in full- no conditions
- £150 towards pole tour safety essentials= not awarded as the group are able to afford the activity from money that is already in their current account

Unanimously agree:

JC: Yes

JW: Yes

SS: Yes

BUSAG applications

- JS: some but not loads of money in current account
- SS: happy to approve expenses for travel
- JS: agreed
- JS: also happy to approve expenses for Keele Ball
- SS: I agree with this

Application outcomes:

- £285 towards travel subsidies to welsh rally= awarded in full- no conditions
- £105 towards travel to Keele Ball= awarded in full- no conditions

Unanimously agree:

JC: Yes

JW: Yes

SS: Yes

Sober Socials

	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• SS: could probably fund these expenses themselves• JS: could have other activities planned that they would need current account money for• JS: could give 25%. This would be £27• SS: yes I agree with this option <p>Application outcomes:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• £55.63 towards four new games= 25% of funding requested awarded amounting to £27. Other funds can be covered by money available within current account <p>Unanimously agree:</p> <p>JC: Yes JW: Yes SS: Yes</p> <p><u>LARP application</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• JS: want equipment and food• SS: are they asking for too much. Can we give them a percentage- 50%?• JS: do we allow grant for food• GA: allow money for food now- didn't used to• JS: thanks for context. Am happy with Shalabh's suggestion of 50% funding <p>Application outcomes:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• £250 towards society activities and events including to purchase resources for combat training and safety and to fund catering for certain society events= awarded 50% funding amounting to	
--	--	--

£125. Other funds can be covered by money within current account

Unanimously agree:

JC: Yes

JW: Yes

SS: Yes

Writers Bloc Application

- JS: £35 for publicity
- SS: requesting the money in account is for performances
- JS: in that case this funding seems reasonable
- SS: I agree

Application outcomes:

- £35 towards publicity funding= awarded in full- no conditions

Unanimously agree:

JC: Yes

JW: Yes

SS: Yes

Astro Soc Application

- JS: happy to approve request for equipment
- SS: yes seems fine

Application outcomes:

- £140 towards speaker-related expenses for Tea, Talk and Telescope; ticketing and

campsite costs for camping trip=
awarded in full-no conditions

Unanimously agree:

JC: Yes

JW: Yes

SS: Yes

Investment Society Application

- JS: £900 is a lot of money to request. They need the money to run these activities. Have probably taken some of this into consideration when submitting application. Do you think we could award them 50%?
- SS: that would be £450
- JS: this is for various events isn't it? Group would need to ticket for their ball also to cover extra costs

Application outcomes:

REQUIRES CLARIFICATION

Unanimously agree:

JC: Yes

JW: Yes

SS: Yes

Quiz Society Application

- GA: only money at the moment is start up grant
- JS: buzzer prices on the internet cost a lot less than what the group have quoted
- SS: I agree with this. Doesn't make sense to award £150
- JS: lets award half based on that

Application outcomes:

- £150 towards 2 sets of buzzers= awarding 50% of what was requested amounting to £75. Reason for not awarding full amount is that there are cheaper alternatives available elsewhere in line with consideration of value for money

Unanimously agree:

JC: Yes

JW: Yes

SS: Yes

Sober Socials Application

- JS: as they are largely subsidising this activity already I would be happy to award
- SS: agree

Application outcomes:

- £100 towards laser tag activity= awarded in full- no conditions

Unanimously agree:

JC: Yes

JW: Yes

SS: Yes

Dance Club Latino Application

- JS: is a lot but it is for a ball. Presumably they have to hire a venue that is high cost? Not sure there would be a reason not to approve
- SS: do they definitely need £1,000 based in info provided
- JS: they only have 70 members

- SS: was thinking maybe we could award £750
- JS: presume a lot of money in their current account is already going to the ball
- GA: some context here. Group call this activity a ball but it is a large scale event that also involves non-members and students from other universities [draws out info from application]
- JS: activity would then be for the direct benefit of people who aren't part of the group
- JC: are asking for a lot of money
- SS: highly unlikely everyone who is a registered member would show up
- JC: I agree. We could subsidise a certain amount?
- JC: £500 and use as they see best

Application outcomes:

- £1,000 towards DCL annual ball= awarding 50% at £500. Reason for not awarding in full is due to the fact that activity is not for the benefit of UOB students alone and so 50% is reflective of costs towards members who would participate.

Unanimously agree:

JC: Yes

JW: Yes

SS: Yes

Mountaineering Applications

- JC: are requesting some expenses for £225 for winter skills course
- SS: have broken down the costs involved well
- JC: good application

	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• JS: agreed• JC: have also requested money towards compasses. I think this is ok• SS: all good• JC: are putting half towards this themselves also• JC: are additionally applying for money towards a ropeworks course• SS: this seems fine• JS: all good• JC: group also want some outdoor clothing equipment. Would only be at the benefit of 2-3 people and the clothes would need to be shared. Could be hard for the group to manage with cleaning and maintenance. Am thinking this may not be feasible• JS: would this be a valid reason to reject? Let me do the maths. After all of the payments they have listed in their application they will still have money left in their current account• JC: do they need to carry over £4,500 into next year? Move to reject this final application <p>Application outcomes:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• £225 towards winter skills course= awarded in full- no conditions• £50 towards compasses= awarded in full- no conditions• £200 towards outdoor clothing/equipment= not awarded due to having sufficient funds within current account to afford this expenditure• £100 towards ropeworks course= awarded in full- no conditions <p>Unanimously agree:</p> <p>JC: Yes JW: Yes</p>	
--	--	--

SS: Yes

LGBTQ application

- JC: evening of elegance activity
- JS: it is history month in February and they could get some money from the campaigns pot towards this activity
- JC: want to be consistent with balls
- JS: there is £1,000 in LGBTQ campaigns pot
- JC: what about ticket costs
- JS: some money coming from ticket sales has been factored in
- JC: lots of other societies fund solely via ticketing
- JS: don't think there is a reason for rejecting now that we have worked through grant funding criteria

Application outcomes:

- £400 towards evening of elegance= awarded in full- no conditions

Unanimously agree:

JC: Yes

JW: Yes

SS: Yes

ELSA Application

- JC: an activity that would benefit 14 people
- JS: think they are planning on subsidising this activity though
- JC: I'd be happy to subsidise £350
- JC: negotiation competition information isn't very specific
- SS: agree that it isn't clear
- JC: could ask for additional information

		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • JS: can reject on the basis of being unspecified • JS: 50/50 when it is member and non-member inclusive is the approach we have been applying • JC: happy with final request • SS: I agree with this. Moot court unspecified details so not awarding <p>Application outcomes:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • £350 towards trip to supreme court= awarded in full- no conditions • £50 rather than £100 towards client interviewing competition (this is due to being for both members and non-members) • £150 towards learning for charity= awarded in full- no conditions • No money awarded for negotiation competition and moot court competition due to unspecified costs <p>Unanimously agree:</p> <p>JC: Yes JW: Yes SS: Yes</p> <p><u>International Students Association Application</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • JC: money towards trip in UK • JS: like to travel across the UK • JC: charging £60 per ticket • JS: are charging for tickets • JC: are we giving money so that people can afford this are getting a free trip • SS: am assuming there would be a limited number of people who could go • JS: let's work through the criteria to make a final decision on this • JC: lets also consider aims of groups constitution 	
--	--	---	--

		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • JS: now that we have considered this what is best course of action • JC: don't believe it strictly correlates to constitution so I move to reject • SS & JS: agree with this <p>Application outcomes:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • £589.91 towards a trip in the UK= rejected due to not being in line with the groups aims as listed in their constitution <p>Unanimously agree:</p> <p>JC: Yes JW: Yes SS: Yes</p> <p><u>BALADS application</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • JC: funds fit with their objectives and makes their group more accessible • JS & SS: agree <p>Application outcomes:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • £500 towards Birmingham Friendly dance competition in January and our trip to Blackpool for the Inter Varsity Dance Competition (Nationals) in February, as well as transport for trips and taster session costs= awarded in full- no conditions <p>Unanimously agree:</p> <p>JC: Yes JW: Yes SS: Yes</p> <p><u>Pantosoc Application</u></p>	
--	--	--	--

- JC: £600 towards a drum kit. Where would they store the kit?
- JC: seems a good way to use grant
- SS: seems justifiable apart from storage concerns
- JS: agree with this
- JC: storage concerns would need to be resolved before this could be approved

Application outcomes:

- £100 towards a speaker= awarded in full, no conditions
- £500 towards a drum kit= decision deferred until group can clarify storage solutions and whether they would be happy to share equipment with others. For a final decision to be made on email resolution.

Unanimously agree:

JC: Yes

JW: Yes

SS: Yes

Footnotes Application

- JC: asking for 1,000 but have provided limited information
- SS: are saying they have 4 grand in account but they haven't said if that is being ring fenced
- JC: haven't broken down what they wanted
- JS: agree there is a lack of information

Application outcomes:

- £1,000 towards Edinburgh fringe accommodation costs= no funding awarded due to lack of information provided/unspecified costs

Unanimously agree:

JC: Yes

JW: Yes

SS: Yes

BUDS Application

- JC: are asking for 2 amounts up to £8,000
- SS: I think we should look at them collectively
- JC: SQUADS are a category of BUDS. Have done some fundraising themselves which is good
- JS: are clearly making an effort to source funding themselves
- JC: isn't a massive amount of detail provided to account for costs
- JS: are their ambitions too high
- JC: how much money do we have in the grant pot- need to consider how much we are able to spend
- JC: are asking for £3,000 for 40 dancers
- JS: costs are not realistic- there are going to be additional costs
- JC: could we give them £50 towards each SQUAD member. They would then need to fund £25 each personally
- JC: would say students can't expect to participate in activities such as this for free
- SS: some costs can be less than what is estimated and agree with people contributing £25 each
- JC: what is reason for not allocating all

Application outcomes:

- £3,000 to fund transport, competition fees, hotel costs, and costumes to attend three dance competitions in

		<p>Loughborough, Manchester, and Cardiff= £2,000 awarded. Not awarding in full because of further contributions members can provide (e.g. ticketing) to cover additional costs</p> <p>Unanimously agree:</p> <p>JC: Yes JW: Yes SS: Yes</p> <p><u>A capella Application</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• JC: wireless microphones request• SS: what does the group mean by 'a decent proportion' They do have a lot of money in their account• JS: think this is reasonable• JC: agreed <p>Application outcomes:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• £588 requested towards wireless microphones= conditional approval pending clarification on whether this microphones will only be used for fringe, or if they will be used for society activities generally. For approval to be given on email resolution <p>Unanimously agree:</p> <p>JC: Yes JW: Yes SS: Yes</p> <p><u>GMTG application</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• JC: can groups share equipment• JS: could the guild buy a drum kit to be used by groups	
--	--	--	--

		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • SS: schedules and shows run by groups would probably clash • JC: thinking back to panto application also we wouldn't have the space to accommodate two drum kits • SS: from their application they seem more keen on funding for the keyboard • JS: who would we have to prioritise for storage • SS: have £7,000 in their account balance • SM: would recommend going out for more information to groups who have requested a drum kit and see if they would be happy to share kit/what their storage solutions would be before approving • JC: I think we should approve the keyboard but agree with Sam's recommendation on the drum kit <p>Application outcomes:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Expenses towards Keyboard, Keyboard stand, sustain pedal and keyboard cover/case= £530 awarded towards these expenses • Money requested towards a drum kit= for the group to clarify storage solutions and whether they would be happy to share equipment with other groups before a decision is made. For a decision to be made on email resolution. <p>Unanimously agree:</p> <p>JC: Yes JW: Yes SS: Yes</p> <p><u>Live Art Society Application</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • JC: have lots of money in their current account 	
--	--	--	--

	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• JS: are able to cover costs• JS: note about whether we can provide an example of a well completed application In the future to support groups with this process <p>Application outcomes:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• £20 towards printing costs= not awarded as group can afford costs from current account• £100 subsidy towards tech costs= not awarded as group can afford costs from current account <p>Unanimously agree:</p> <p>JC: Yes JW: Yes SS: Yes</p> <p><u>Watch This Society Application</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• JS: we are receiving lots of applications about tech costs aren't we• JC: I know they raise money for fringe. Would be happy to award money in full• JS: justification as a subsidy and intend to cover other costs <p>Application outcomes:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• £30 towards printing costs= not awarded as can cover from current account <p>Unanimously agree:</p> <p>JC: Yes JW: Yes SS: Yes</p>	
--	---	--

Infinity Stage Company Application

- JC: costs seem fair and reasonable
- JS & SS: agree

Application outcomes:

- £100 towards show costs= awarded with no conditions

Unanimously agree:

JC: Yes

JW: Yes

SS: Yes

Islamic Society Application

- JC: for discover Islam Week
- JS: get funding from the chaplaincy also
- JS: high membership rate, low membership cost
- JC: group and this activity are very popular and would have a lot of interest
- SS: agree with this

Application outcomes:

- £4,500 towards discover islam week= £3,000 awarded. Additional money to be sourced via university funding avenues and fundraising

Unanimously agree:

JC: Yes

JW: Yes

SS: Yes

Christian Union Applications

- JS: Isoc one week CU Ir
- JC: if we have given to ISOC should give to CU
- JC: This is a high value event that means a lot to students
- JC: with water bottles application- considering the current climate this makes people feel a lot safer
- SS: agree with this
- JC: with some expenses they do have lot of money in their account where they can afford some of these activities already so need to take this into consideration
- JS: agreed

Application outcomes:

- £500 towards week of events in February= money awarded in full- conditions
- £420 towards waterbottling= awarded in full- no conditions
- £150 towards International events= awarded in full- no conditions

Unanimously agree:

JC: Yes

JW: Yes

SS: Yes

Catholic Society Applications

- JC: have we been giving 50% funding when it has been for wider than just the group
- SS: yes and agree that should apply here too
- JC: pancakes activity to consider also
- SS & JS: yes agreed to fund
- JC: speaker expenses seems a good way to spend money

		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • SS: don't have loads of money in their account either • JS: agreed • JC: think we should give money for St Patricks • JC: with retreat- should we give half due to the number of people it impacts being quite small? Current costs at £55 per person. We have subsidised to £25 per person in other areas so should we do the same here • JS: members are already paying for travel costs • JC: are we more inclined to award full funding? • JS: no • SS: half seems fair • JS: haven't applied via chaplaincy grant yet <p>Application outcomes:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • £500 towards cathsoc ball= half funding awarded amounting to £250 due to ball not being for members only. • £50 towards pancake day= awarded in full- no conditions • £200 towards speakers= awarded in full- no conditions • £250 towards St patricks day celebration= awarded in full- no conditions • £50 towards worship sound equipment= awarded in full- no conditions • £600 towards retreat weekend= 50% awarded amounting to £300 due to only being for the benefit of a limited number of members <p>Unanimously agree:</p> <p>JC: Yes JW: Yes</p>	
--	--	--	--

SS: Yes

Sikh Society Application

- GA: this application had previously come through as emergency grant I had rejected due to nature of funding, and so the group were signposted to apply via this grant instead
- JC: don't have much money in their account
- JS: are planning on fundraising and also accessing funding from the chaplaincy
- SS: sounds good to approve

Application outcomes:

- £3,500 towards langar activity costs= £3,000 awarded. Additional £500 can be requested via emergency grant if it is required at the time.

Unanimously agree:

JC: Yes

JW: Yes

SS: Yes

PENSA application

- JS: I see no issues with this application
- SS: neither do I

Application outcomes:

- £150 towards sound equipment= awarded in full- no conditions

Unanimously agree:

JC: Yes

JW: Yes

		<p>SS: Yes</p> <p><u>GIFT Application</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• JC: costs seem fair and reasonable• JS & SS: agree <p>Application outcomes:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• £50 towards christmas event= awarded in full- no conditions <p>Unanimously agree:</p> <p>JC: Yes JW: Yes SS: Yes</p> <p><u>Ahlul Bayt Application</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• JC: costs seem fair and reasonable to award for speaker travel. Not enough info to award for other costs• JS & SS: agree <p>Application outcomes:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• £150 towards speaker travel, fresher's fair costs and charity events= £50 awarded towards speaker travel costs. Other funds not awarded due to being unspecified <p>Unanimously agree:</p> <p>JC: Yes JW: Yes SS: Yes</p>	
--	--	---	--

Minutes from Activities Committee sub-group 2

Participants of sub-group 2:

George Christian

Zeyu Li

Frances Atkinson

Jules Singh

Applications under consideration of this sub group:

- Academic
- Interest and Appreciation
- Recreational and Competitive
- Campaigning and Fundraising
- Community Volunteering
- Cultural
- Media

German Society application

- GC: Everything that is being requested is eligible
- SM: The group have only requested money for 20 hoodies, why is that?
- GC: They may have ran a poll with members or already collected the information on who would like a hoodie.
- ZL: Only gathered several hoodies and it is not clear why they are only ordering 20
- Shall we approve all other costs except for the hoodies which would take us to £293 to grant to the group, as the hoodies will not be offered to all members?

		<p>Application outcomes: £293 awarded to German Society</p> <p>Unanimously agree:</p> <p>GC: Yes ZL: Yes</p> <p><u>Business Society grant application</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • SM: The group have not given an explanation as to how the money is being used in their current account. • GC: The group can afford to pay for this as they have the money in their current account and have not left any detail on what this money is being used for. • ZL: They still have a lot of money <p>Application outcomes: No grant to be allocated to Business society on the basis that the group can afford to pay for this from their current account.</p> <p>GC: Yes ZL: Yes</p> <p><u>Philosophy Society application</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • GC: The £700 in amount from other Income- what is this relating to? • SM: Groups will put tickets on sale for their balls which this could be relating to. Most of the cost would be covered by Guild grant if they are asking for £1,000. • The total cost of the event is £1200 which does not add up, as they are requesting £1,000 from the Guild and £700 to use from other income. 	
--	--	--	--

		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • SM: The group have 100 members, so for this event we would also need every single member to purchase a ticket. • EO: I have no received anything from the group regarding their ball. • ZL: Maybe we can reduce the grant that we give to this group? • GC: The group could raise the funds elsewhere, as If the whole event costs £1200 and they have £700 from other income they need to find £500. I think we should not award given that the event should be covered by ticket sales from the group. <p>Application Outcomes: No grant allocated to Philosophy society:</p> <p>Unanimously agree:</p> <p>GC: Yes ZL: Yes</p> <p><u>Psychology Society applications</u></p> <p><u>Psychology Society- £700 MHFA grant request</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • GC: The cost per person is very high for the course. It is quite a big subsidy of £40 • SM: I believe that it is within the group's core aims to deliver this training • GC: There is quite a lot of money within the account. If the group increased the cost of their training by £5 then they could gain some of that money themselves. • ZL: I agree <p><u>Psychology Society- £73.50 Hockey pitch bookings grant request</u></p>	
--	--	---	--

- GC: Happy to approve pitch bookings as it meets the criteria and is a reasonable amount
- ZL: Yes, I agree

Psychology Society- £250 grant request for Edinburgh trip

- GC: £250 from the Guild for grant to cover and £250 from the group.
- SM: With Unilife for international trips students will usually pay the company directly, so the group only have to pay a £500 deposit. Is that right Emma from your groups?
- EO: Yes, just a £500 deposit for the group to pay
- SM: So that would then mean that the students are liable for themselves. We might need to check the terms.
- GC: We need to make sure that it's clear what we are agreeing to by providing this money.

Application outcomes:

£73.50 granted for hockey pitch bookings
 £350 with a recommendation to increase their ticket cost to £5 per person for MHFA training

Request more Information for Edinburgh trip on terms.

Unanimously agree:

GC: Yes

ZL: Yes

Pharmacy Society application

- GC: From looking at this I would say unspecified activity as there is not enough information in the application for us to award.
- ZL: There are not enough details and we don't know how many people would be attending the events for example.

Application Outcomes: £0 awarded to Pharmacy Society any grant due to this being an unspecified activity

GC: Yes

ZL: Yes

Drama Social Society application

- SM: This is a new group
- GC: They also have not included enough information to approve, there's a lot of unspecified details

Application Outcomes: £0 awarded to Drama Social Society money due to this being an unspecified activity

GC: Yes

ZL: Yes

HARTSOC application

- GC: The tickets are very cheap for members if the venue is costing £1,000 and they have 100 members expected to attend. This should be reflected in ticket prices and stay consistent with other groups.
- GC: Queries charity part of application

		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • SM: We cannot pay directly to a charity due to charity law, money has to be specifically fundraised for a charity. • ZL: We do not know how many they want to sell in their tickets. • SM: It says they have 58 members. Most venues will have a minimum numbers of 50 people attending. • ZL: Maybe we could give them money towards their charity social? • GC: Shall we award nothing for the ball as this should be covered by the group, and award £100 for the charity social to go towards banners, printing and venue deposits. <p>Application Outcomes: £100 awarded to partly fund their charity socials to go towards the venue deposits, banners and printing. No money granted towards their ball.</p> <p>GC: Yes ZL: Yes</p> <p><u>Biosoc application</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • SM: Discussion to be had with the group around the nature of their activities and offering a variety for their members, as a lot of money is going on sporting activity. • GC: For the ball could there be cheaper alternatives for them to host, as they do not have much money In their current account. <p>Application Outcomes: £1164 awarded towards their campus league entries, pitch hire and sports equipment. Request additional information from the group</p>	
--	--	---	--

		<p>regarding the Biocup. No money allocated towards their ball.</p> <p>GC: Yes ZL: Yes</p> <p><u>BUDSS application</u></p> <p><u>BUDSS- £250 grant request for football pitches</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• GC: There is quite a lot of money in the groups account• EO: They do have a ball taking place in January, which is why they have so much as they are charging £65-£75 a ticket, but payment to the venue still needs to be taken out.• GC: With the money with the ball taken out they still have a lot of money in their account that should cover this. <p><u>BUDSS- £1000 towards coach travel</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• GC: I am aware that transport costs have increased this year. Still lots of money in their account which could go towards this.• ZL: Not sure about the fee, we do not have an exact figure.• GC: It is also very late in the year to be changing membership costs. I would like to award them partly for this to help, but the group will be in a position to cover costs themselves. <p><u>BUDSS- £600 grant request for fundraiser</u></p>	
--	--	--	--

		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • SM: Because of charity law the group cannot have their grant money straight to the charity • GC: Not sure where the costs are being accrued • ZL: Should we ask the group for more information about this as it is not clear how much things cost <p><u>BUDSS £360 BSL Course</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • GC: Not sure if it is a justifiable amount to grant to the group for members to only receive a £6 discount on the course. • ZL: I don't think that we should award to cover. • GC: There is enough money in the account still, taking the ball into consideration for the group to mostly cover this and charge their members the extra money. <p><u>BUDSS- Ball £1250 grant request</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • GC: The group have demonstrated that they have been able to cover the funds for this event themselves through ticket sales. They have a lot of money in their account already for this event. • ZL: I agree they have enough money to use towards this. <p>Application outcomes: Award BUDSS £300 towards their coach hire Request more information from group with costs for speakers involved for Acorns Children's Hospice</p>	
--	--	---	--

		<p>All other requests rejected using money from current account</p> <p>GC: Yes ZL: Yes</p> <p><u>Modern Languages applications</u></p> <p><u>Modern Languages £40 grant request</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> GC: This should be covered by the group's account, as there is enough money and they have not specified what the £4,000 they current have is going towards <p><u>Modern Languages £100- Ball grant request</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> GC: I think the tickets either need to be raised to fully cover the cost so that grant is not needed. Or they can use the money from their society account. ZL: I agree <p>Application Outcomes: £0 as funds can be used from the group's current account for sporting equipment, and ticket sales for ball.</p> <p>GC: Yes ZL: Yes</p> <p><u>History Society application</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> GC: £1 subsidiary is an affordable cost to just add to tickets that are sold to members. The group also have a lot of money in their current account to cover 	
--	--	---	--

		<p>the costs for the ball and increase ticket prices to cover the cost.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • ZL: I think that would be best <p>Application outcome: £0 as the bar crawl can be covered by increasing ticket prices and the ball by tickets sold. There is also enough money in the account to cover costs.</p> <p>GC: Yes ZL: Yes</p> <p><u>Women in Politics application</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • GC: Happy to approve all of their application, It is a reasonable request • ZL: Yes happy to approve <p>Application outcomes: £85 grant request awarded in full.</p> <p>GC: Yes ZL: Yes</p> <p><u>ELAL application</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • GC: As with other societies hosting a ball they should use ticket sales to try and fund this themselves. <p>Voting outcomes: £0 to use money in current account and raise funds though ticket sales.</p> <p>GC: Yes</p>	
--	--	--	--

ZL: Yes

CSS application

CSS £505 grant request for paintballing

- GC: I am happy to approve this partially and advise the group that the remaining amount is covered by the money in their current account
- ZL: I agree

CSS- £645 grant request for workshop

- GC: Sounds like a reasonable request for an event like this.
- SM: Need to look at the expense per person for this.
- GC: if they charged £5 per person for the workshop then they could raise £345 towards the events.
- ZL: I agree £345 is good

CSS £686.30 grant application for Bletchley Park

- GC: For this application I think that we should give the group half of the money towards their coach rental, but they should also increase their ticket price to cover other costs.

CSS Society- Ball application

		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • GC: The ticket costs for the event should cover this, and even with the Christmas meal for the group there is still have money in their account to put towards the ball. • SM: £25 a ticket is quite cheap for a ball ticket for groups. • GC: They could increase their ticket price then to cover this. They could look at cheaper venues so that grant is not being used to subsidise the costs for members so much. • ZL: I agree <p>Voting Outcomes: Award CSS £0 for this grant application as their ticket price points should be reviewed for the event, or they look at cheaper venues.</p> <p>Application Outcomes: Award CSS with £300 towards this activity with a recommendation to charge attendees £5 per person to cover additional costs £253 awarded towards paintballing coach hire £253 towards Bletchely Park £0 for ball Unanimously agree: GC: Yes ZL: Yes as tickets should cover cost of event</p> <p><u>Ecce Homo application</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • GC: Good breakdown of costs from the group. Happy to award the full amount for this as It Is clear what the money is going towards and all eligible for grant. • ZL: Me too <p>Voting outcomes: Ecce Homo to be awarded £236 of their grant in full.</p>	
--	--	---	--

Unanimously agree:

GC: Yes

ZL: Yes

ELAL application

- GC: Similar with other grant applications for hosting a ball, the group need to try and cover this with their ticket sales. The application doesn't include how much they would be selling these for.

Voting outcomes: £0 application rejected and group advised to review their ticket price points to cover event through ticket sales.

Unanimously agree:

GC: Yes

ZL: Yes

KASE application

- GC: Does not include much detail for part of the application, also not sure if these costs have been researched as they are all rounded figures.
- ZL: Should we award some towards part of this?
- GC: I think we should award £100 towards travel and snacks but not the full amount due to lack of information.
- ZL: I agree

Voting outcomes: £100 awarded to KASE to go towards drinks and snacks for their socials in semester 2.

Unanimously agree:

GC: Yes

ZL: Yes

Sitcom application

- GC: The group does not have much money in their account for socials if they are planning lots and to cover advertising, but there is not much information.
- ZL: I agree there is not much information from the group about the activity.

Voting outcomes: Request more Information from the group.

Unanimously agree:

GC: Yes

ZL: Yes

Battle re-enactment application

- GC: Quite a lot of money for materials, as they only have 30 members we need to consider the spend per head for this and how many people will benefit.
- ZL: Shall we award them half of the money?
- GC: Yes I think we should award £200 so that it is proportionate.

Voting outcomes: £200 awarded towards materials/equipment

Unanimously agree:

GC: Yes

ZL: Yes

Metal Society application

- GC: I am happy to approve this as there is a good breakdown of costs included here.
- ZL: Me too

Voting outcomes: £300 grant awarded In full to Metal society for their gig.

Unanimously agree:

GC: Yes

ZL: Yes

Craft Society application

- GC: There's a lot of material for the group to buy but it is quite a high amount to request
- ZL: Should we award half or £100 to the group?
- GC: I am not too sure how much materials they would be able to buy for £100. I think the group will get through it quite quickly. I was thinking more £300. Shall we split down the middle and say £200 awarded to the group?
- ZL: Yes

Voting outcomes: £200 awarded to Craft society to go towards their equipment and materials.

Unanimously agree:

GC: Yes

ZL: Yes

Anime and Manga application

- GC: This does not look like enough information to approve, or for us to request more details.
- ZL: Yes I agree

Voting outcomes: £0 awarded to Anime and Manga due to lack of information In the application.

Unanimously agree:

GC: Yes

ZL: Yes

Nerd Society application

- GC: I think that raising the price for the tickets not to be subsidised by £2 is fair for the group to charge. I think we should award the £20 for the craft supplies though.
- ZL: I agree

Voting outcomes: £20 awarded to Nerdsoc for craft supplies.

Unanimously agree:

GC: Yes

ZL: Yes

Kabaddi application

- GC: For this one I think they have got enough money in their account to cover this

- SM: A lot of this money will be raised for charity and going towards the fundraiser, but the money still needs to leave their account.
- GC: In that case then I think we should ask the group for more information as it is not clear how much the Dojo is costing them for the bookings.
- ZL: I agree

Voting outcomes: Request more information from the group Dojo prices.

Unanimously agree:

GC: Yes

ZL: Yes

UBRobotics application

- GC: I have not heard of this group before
- SM: I don't think that they are Guild affiliated.
- GC: I have just checked and they are an EPS society and not Guild group so we will need to reject.

Voting outcomes: £0 awarded due to being ineligible as they are not a Guild affiliated society.

Unanimously agree:

GC: Yes

ZL: Yes

Rollerskate society application

- SM: This is a new group at the Guild
- GC: They only have 13 members but they will be building this up as a new group. I think we should request more information from them and consider this.
- ZL: I agree

Voting outcomes: Request more information from the group

Unanimously agree:

GC: Yes

ZL: Yes

Wayfarers applications

£600 Yorkshire Dales application

- GC: We would be giving people a £10 subsidy for this. They could increase ticket prices and also they have money in their account still remaining after other costs are removed.
- ZL: I agree, money from their account should be used.

£125 Minibus driver training

- GC: I am happy to award this, I think it is a reasonable request.
- ZL: I agree

Voting outcomes: £0 awarded for Yorkshire Dales trip and group advised to use remaining money in current account or increase ticket prices.

		<p>£125 awarded for minibus driver training.</p> <p>Unanimously agree:</p> <p>GC: Yes</p> <p>ZL: Yes</p> <p><u>Beat UoB application</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• GC: There isn't a breakdown of costs included which we would like.• SM: We need to know that they are spending the money on. What money is needed for.• GC: Agree to request more information from the group for this?• ZL: Agree <p>Voting outcomes: Request more information from the group</p> <p>Unanimously agree:</p> <p>GC: Yes</p> <p>ZL: Yes</p> <p>FA: Yes</p> <p><u>Reclaim Campus UOB application</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• GC: I think this is similar where we need a breakdown of costs for the event.• ZL: I agree <p>Unanimously agree:</p> <p>GC: Yes</p>	
--	--	---	--

ZL: Yes

FA: Yes

Valefest application

- GC: The group have included clear costs of what the money is being used towards. Although there is a lot of money in their account.
- SM: This will be used a lot towards their event, as they build their account up each year.
- GC: On that basis I am happy to approve.
- ZL: I agree.

Voting outcomes: £230 awarded in full to Valefest

Unanimously agree:

GC: Yes

ZL: Yes

FA: Yes

Friends of Nightline applications

Easter pub quiz

- SM: The groups' account balance cannot be used to donate. Money has to be specifically raised for donation.
- FA: How are they using promotion?
- SM: Usually lots of groups use social media
- FA: not much information about the costs., how much it would be if they use this money per ad.

		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> GC: There is enough in their account to cover. <p><u>Flyers and stress balls</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> FA: Should these be provided by the charity (Adam Sheridan contacted to ask for more advice regarding this) SM: Adam has fed back that we need to defer the decision on flyers and stress balls for Nightline to check the policy. <p><u>Valentine's Day Themed Bake Sale</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> GC: The group have a lot of money in their current account that could be used towards this. FA: I agree ZL: Me too <p><u>Publicity Event</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> GC: I don't think there is enough information within this application to approve. FA: I agree <p><u>Students for Choice application</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> GC: Clear information on what they would like to spend the money. The spend per head is much lower as they have a lot of members. 	
--	--	--	--

- FA: Could we ask whether the group are charging members for the bags? Because If they are then they will be receiving the money twice.
- SM: I think they are doing this so that the bags help them to raise money for charity.
- GC: If that is the case then I think we could award in full.

Voting Outcomes: Grant allocation awarded in full- £440

Unanimously agree:

GC: Yes

ZL: Yes

FA: Yes

Marrow application

- GC: The refreshment costs all look fine to me, but need to look into the merchandise and whether this is something that we can approve).
- SM: (Clarifies that Birmingham Marrow operates in a way where merchandise can be provided by the group).
- GC: I am happy to approve this so that they can run the event, as they have no money in their account.

FA: I agree

ZL: Me too

Voting outcomes: Full £90 awarded to Birmingham Marrow

Unanimously agree:

		<p>GC: Yes</p> <p>FA: Yes</p> <p>ZL: Yes</p> <p><u>Action against homelessness application</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• GC: I think that this should be covered by ticket sales for the event. £12 a ticket is not much to charge for a ball.• FA: I agree• ZL: Me too <p>Voting outcomes: £0 awarded to group and they are advised to review their ticket price points.</p> <p>Unanimously agree:</p> <p>GC: Yes</p> <p>ZL: Yes</p> <p>FA: Yes</p> <p><u>Headucate application</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• SM: Need to consider the most economical way of travelling.• FA: Does the application include how they are getting there?• GC: No cannot see this in the application. I am happy to award this.• FA: Yes• ZL: Me too <p>Voting outcomes: £250 full grant request awarded to Headucate</p> <p>Unanimously agree:</p>	
--	--	--	--

		<p>GC: Yes</p> <p>ZL: Yes</p> <p>FA: Yes</p> <p><u>Tuition Scheme application</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• SM: For PPE all that is required from government guidance is a mask, which many people will have already.• GC: I think we should award the 250 for travel only and not PPE, as everyone should have a mask. <p>Voting outcomes: £250 awarded towards travel to schools.</p> <p>Unanimously agree:</p> <p>GC: Yes</p> <p>ZL: Yes</p> <p>FA: Yes</p> <p><u>Mental health and wellbeing application</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• GC: There are some specific costs here but not much about the May 2022 event.• SM: I think that there will be another grant allocation around that period where they could apply.• GC: If we partially approve then we would be awarding £370 for Tea and Talks and their March fundraising event.• FA: I agree• ZL: I agree	
--	--	--	--

	<p>Voting outcomes: £270 awarded for Tea and Talks and March fundraising event.</p> <p>Unanimously agree:</p> <p>GC: Yes</p> <p>ZL: Yes</p> <p>FA: Yes</p> <p><u>Conservation Volunteers application</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• GC: Application for transport and they have included clear details on how much this will cost the group. I am happy to approve.• FA: Me too.• ZL: Yes <p>Unanimously agree:</p> <p>GC: Yes</p> <p>ZL: Yes</p> <p>FA: Yes</p> <p><u>Community Challenge application</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• GC: Lots of information within the other column.• FA: There's not much information in the first column on the activity.• GC: The group may have got confused on the form. I think that we should request more information from the group.• FA: I agree <p>Unanimously agree:</p> <p>GC: Yes</p>	
--	--	--

ZL: Yes

FA: Yes

UOB Phab application

- SM: I think there may be some confusion here as there members are volunteers, but the people that they run the activity for are vulnerable adults.
- FA: Within the application it states that their membership is 0
- SM: They have 5 members
- GC: There is enough money in the account to cover the funds for this.

Voting outcomes: £0 awarded as the group have enough money in their current account to cover funds.

Unanimously agree:

GC: Yes

ZL: Yes

FA: Yes

(ZL leaves and JS joins the group)

The Linguist Magazine application

- GC: I am happy to approve this, as the group would not have enough money once expenses are claimed back for the 2-3 issues to be printed.

FA: I agree

JS: Yes

Unanimously agree:

GC: Yes

FA: Yes

JS: Yes

Redbrick application

- SM: Macs are not the university standard. InDesign can be used on other computers, as it is a software
- JS: Does not need to be a Mac, as Windows can a lot of times be cheaper and the university uses Windows more.
- FA: I think we should ask the group to research other options.
- ZL: They have quite a lot of money in their current account too.
- GC: Should we agree on a minimum spend for the group? But we would need to research the value of computers for what they need it to do.
- SM: It is worth considering to see if there is an alternative to a Mac.
- JS: I think around £650 could get you a high spec computer that would run Adobe.
- GC: Shall we postpone and ask the group for further information regarding this? Needs to be a conversation.
- JS: I agree
- FA: Me too

Voting outcomes: Further information needed and for a discussion to be had with the group about the need for a Mac and whether other alternatives are available.

Unanimously agree:

GC: Yes

FA: Yes

JS: Yes

Sign Language society application

- GC: I think we should consider giving the group the money for this grant request. But the spend per head is quite a lot.
- JS: Need to consider the number of people that it will benefit.
- FA: The scholarship is a lot of money.
- GC: I think the spend per student for the BSL exam is too high for us to justify £600.
- JS: For transport I do not think that we should cover the cost. As the teacher is already paid and usually this is covered within their costs.
- FA: Could this affect them needing a new teacher? How available are sign language tutors?
- JS: It is a direct expenditure for a non member so we shouldn't approve.
- GC: But it does benefit all members by her attending.
- GA: Groups with tutors do not usually pay for travel. They will pay them for their time.
- JS: Referring to financial procedure and it being a direct expenditure I don't think we can approve.

Voting outcome: £0 awarded for both applications.

Unanimously agree:

GC: Yes

FA: Yes

JS: Yes

Malaysian applications

Malaysian- Chinese New Year Dinner grant request

- GC: Subsidising quite a lot for the event, which could be made up in ticket sales. There is also a lot of money in their account so I think we should reject.

Malaysian- Badminton grant requests

- GC: The group have submitted about 5 applications for Badminton
- GA: Sports is not included within the group's core aims
- GC: I think we should reject those applications then and there is also money in their current account.

Malaysian- UNICEF collab event grant request

- GA: Need to be cautious of this being charity to charity
- GC: This will be going to UOB in aid of Unicef not directly to the national charity. Happy to approve £200 for snacks

Malaysian- Nottingham Malaysian games grant request

- GC: I think that this is a reasonable request and transport is covered in the criteria. Happy to approve.

FA: Me too

JS: And me

Voting outcomes: Malaysian society to be awarded £200 for drinks and snacks for their UNICEF on campus event. £375 also awarded for travel to the Nottingham Malaysian Games. All other requests rejected.

Unanimously agree:

GC: Yes

FA: Yes

JS: Yes

ABACUS application

- GC: Is the One World Fair something that the group should be paying for?
- GA: It is similar to when groups have costs for societies fair so it is okay.
- GC: I think we need more information on this. Shall we request more Information?
- FA: Yes
- JS: Yes

Voting outcomes: Request more Information from the group

Unanimously agree:

GC: Yes

FA: Yes

JS: Yes

Japan application

- GC: Not enough information on this so it is an unspecified activity.

Voting outcomes: £0 as this is an unspecified activity.

Unanimously agree:

		GC: Yes FA: Yes JS: Yes	
--	--	-------------------------------	--